


## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being deemed indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In response to the Examiner's rejection, the applicant cancels claims 16-21 from the present application, and retains only claims 1-6 indicated as allowable in the Office Action.

After the foregoing amendments, it is believed that the present application is patentable in view of the cited prior art, thus, an early granting of the application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,  
JACKSON WALKER L.L.P.



Thomas E. Sisson, Reg. No. 29,348  
112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 2100  
San Antonio, Texas 78205  
Phone: (210) 978-7700  
Fax: (210) 978-7790  
Attorneys for Applicant

Date: 24 Sept 2004

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited on the date shown below with the United States Postal Service in an envelope, via First Class Mail, addressed to the "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450," under 37 CFR 1.8(a) with sufficient postage, as follows:

Dated: 9-24-2004  
Laura Pope  
Laura Pope